Minutes

NORTH PLANNING COMMITTEE

30 May 2013



Meeting held at Committee Room 5 - Civic Centre, High Street, Uxbridge UB8 1UW

	Committee Members Present:	
	Councillors Eddie Lavery (Chairman)	
	John Morgan (Vice-Chairman)	
	Raymond Graham	
	Michael Markham	
	Carol Melvin	
	David Allam (Labour Load)	
	David Allam (Labour Lead) Robin Sansarpuri	
	Trobin Gangarpan	
	LBH Officers Present:	
	Matthew Duigan, Planning Service Manager	
	Meghji Hirani, Planning Team Leader	
	Tim Brown, Legal Advisor	
	Danielle Watson, Democratic Services Officer	
	Also Present:	
	Councillor Philip Corthorne (Item 8)	
	Councillor Brian Crowe (Item 8)	
0.4		
21.	APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE (Agenda Item 1)	
	There were no apologies for absence.	
22	DECLADATIONS OF INTEREST IN MATTERS COMING REFORE	
22.	DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST IN MATTERS COMING BEFORE THIS MEETING (Agenda Item 2)	
	Agenda Rem 2)	
	Councillor John Morgan declared a non-pecuniary interest in Item 10	
	and remained in the room to discuss and vote on the item.	
23.	TO SIGN AND RECEIVE THE MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS	
	MEETINGS 16 APRIL AND 9 MAY 2013 (Agenda Item 3)	
	The minutes of the meetings held on 16 April and 9 May 2013 were	
	agreed as a correct record.	
	3	
24.	MATTERS THAT HAVE BEEN NOTIFIED IN ADVANCE OR	
	URGENT (Agenda Item 4)	
25.	TO CONFIRM THAT THE ITEMS OF BUSINESS MARKED PART 1	
23.	WILL BE CONSIDERED IN PUBLIC AND THAT THE ITEMS	
	MARKED PART 2 WILL BE CONSIDERED IN PRIVATE (Agenda	
	Item 5)	

	It was confirmed that all items marked Part 1 would be considered in public and all items marked Part 2 would be heard in private.	
26.	LAND FORMING PART OF 30 BARNHILL, EASTCOTE - 68960/APP/2013/33 (Agenda Item 6)	Action by
	3-bedroom, detached dwelling (Outline planning application with all matters reserved).	Matt Duigan Meg Hirani
	Officers introduced the report and outlined details of the application.	
	In accordance with the Council's constitution a representative of the petition received in objection of the proposals was invited to address the meeting. The lead petitioner spoke on behalf of the petitioners and raised the following points:	
	 The proposals did not harmonize with the existing properties. Properties had large spacious gardens. Privacy would be evaded. 	
	 The proposals did not contribute to the wider community. Garden grabbing was against the Mayor of London's policy. Petitioners hoped the Committee would take the officers' recommendations into account. 	
	Members discussed the application and agreed with petitioners' concerns. Members discussed policies which were put in place to prevent 'garden grabbing'.	
	The recommendation for refusal was moved, seconded, and on being put to the vote, was unanimously agreed.	
	Resolved – That the application be refused as per the agenda.	
27.	NORTHWOOD GOLF CLUB, RICKMANSWORTH ROAD, NORTHWOOD - 7932/APP/2013/667 (Agenda Item 7)	Action by
	Single storey outbuilding for use as storage of golf buggies.	
	Officers introduced the report and outlined the application. Officers informed Members that the proposed building would have a minimal impact on the open character of the Green Belt and would facilitate an appropriate use within the Green Belt.	Matt Duigan Meg Hirani
	The recommendation for approval was moved, seconded, and on being put to the vote, was unanimously agreed.	
	Resolved – That the application be approved as per the agenda.	
28.	28 & 28A KINGSEND, RUISLIP - 5740/APP/2013/411 (Agenda Item 8)	Action by
	Retrospective planning application to vary Condition 27 (that development shall not be carried out otherwise than in strict accordance with the plans hereby approved) to planning	Matt Duigan Meg Hirani

Permission Ref: 5740/APP/2008/1214 (Erection of a three storey building to contain 7, two-bedroom and 1, one-bedroom flats, together with associated parking and amenity space) to seek retention of the existing roof profile which is a departure from the approved roof profile.

The Chairman informed the Committee that this was a retrospective application for the roof profile and the rest of the property would not be discussed.

Officers outlined the report. The profile of the house was marginally different from the last application. The stairwell needed extra height which was not taken into consideration in the initial design of the property.

Two Ward Councillors were present, one of which outlined their concerns to the Committee as follows:

- The overall bulk, scale and design of the property was already overbearing to neighbouring properties.
- Disagreed with the statement the conservation officer had made that suggested the visual impact was difficult to assess.
- Concern that there was an error in the drawings.

Members reiterated that the application was brought before the Committee to consider the change to the roof profile not the overall appearance of the building. Members agreed that the application was slightly better than what was previously approved, however, was still a poor design.

Members discussed the previous application that was approved by Committee and agreed that although this was a minor alteration from the original design it could set a precedent to other developers if approved.

The recommendation for refusal was moved, seconded, and on being put to the vote, was unanimously agreed.

Councillors David Allam and Robin Sansarpuri both asked for their objection to the decision to be minuted.

Resolved – That the application be refused as per the agenda.

29. | **ENFORCEMENT REPORT** (Agenda Item 9)

Action by

The recommendation contained in the officer's report was moved, seconded and on being put to the vote was unanimously agreed.

Matt Duigan Meg Hirani

Resolved -

- 1. That the enforcement actions as recommended in the officer's report be agreed.
- 2. That the Committee resolve to release their decision and the

reasons for it outlined in this report into the public domain, solely for the purposes of issuing the formal breach of condition notice to the individual concerned.

This item is included in Part II as it contains information which a) is likely to reveal the identity of an individual and b) contains information which reveals that the authority proposes to give, under an enactment, a notice under or by virtue of which requirements are imposed on a person. The authority believes that the public interest in withholding the information outweighs the public interest in disclosing it (exempt information under paragraphs 2 and 6(a) of Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985 as amended).

30. | **ENFORCEMENT REPORT** (Agenda Item 10)

Action by

The recommendation contained in the officer's report, including one change to extend the compliance period to 6 months was moved, seconded and on being put to the vote was unanimously agreed.

Matt Duigan Meg Hirani

Resolved -

- 1. That the enforcement actions as recommended in the officer's report be agreed.
- 2. That the Committee resolve to release their decision and the reasons for it outlined in this report into the public domain, solely for the purposes of issuing the formal breach of condition notice to the individual concerned.

This item is included in Part II as it contains information which a) is likely to reveal the identity of an individual and b) contains information which reveals that the authority proposes to give, under an enactment, a notice under or by virtue of which requirements are imposed on a person. The authority believes that the public interest in withholding the Information outweighs the public interest in disclosing it (exempt information under paragraphs 2 and 6(a) of Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985 as amended).

The meeting, which commenced at 7.00 pm, closed at 7.50 pm.

These are the minutes of the above meeting. For more information on any of the resolutions please contact Danielle Watson on 01895 277488. Circulation of these minutes is to Councillors, Officers, the Press and Members of the Public.